



Caltrans District 4 Pedestrian Advisory Committee (PAC)
January 19, 2011 9:30 -11:30 AM
Meeting Summary

The meeting was attended by the following PAC members:

Lindsay Arnold, University of California Safe Transportation, Research & Education Center
Emily Betts, San Mateo County Transit District
Michelle DeRobertis, Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority
Carol Levine, Alameda County resident
Lynne March, Sonoma County Transportation Authority
Robert Planthold, San Francisco resident
William Riggs, San Francisco resident
Nicole Schneider, At Large Public Health Representative
Russ Taft, Contra Costa County resident
Rochelle Wheeler, Alameda County Transportation Commission

Agenda Items #1 & #2: Introductions and Approval of Summaries of Previous Meetings

The attendees reviewed and approved the summary notes from the previous PAC meeting on November 17, 2010.

Agenda Item #3: Review and Comment on California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD) 2011 Draft: Parts 2A and 7

The PAC received a presentation on the content of the Parts 2A and 7 as proposed for the CA MUTCD 2011 Draft. The PAC then developed comments on Parts 2A and 7. These comments are attached.

Agenda Item #4: Selection of Projects to Review Based on Pedestrian Relevance

The PAC reviewed a list of Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11 Caltrans State Highway Operations and Protection Program (SHOPP) projects that were organized by pedestrian relevance. The PAC decided to move the Kentilworth Pedestrian Overcrossing project from the grouping of projects with low pedestrian relevance to the “high” category. The PAC also selected a few projects from the high pedestrian relevance grouping to review first. These are the Mission Boulevard (Alameda County) curb ramp upgrades, San Pablo Avenue (Contra Costa County) curb ramp

upgrades, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) improvements on US 101 in San Francisco, ADA pedestrian infrastructure on State Route (SR) 82 in San Mateo County, and the Kenilworth POC project in Sonoma County. For Santa Clara County, the PAC also decided to review either the project for ADA improvements on SR 82 or the northbound SR 85 offramp superelevation project. The PAC will determine the order in which to review projects based on how far along each project is in its development.

Agenda Item #5: Review of Available Data Reports from National Household Travel Survey California Add-On and Request for Additional Reports

The PAC reviewed data tables from the NHTS California Add-On, which had additional questions concerning pedestrian and bicycle travel. The PAC then requested to review, at an upcoming meeting, additional data tables showing weekly house walked and biked by county and trip purpose (utilitarian, recreational and school trips) and reasons why people do not walk or bike broken down by county.

Agenda Item #6: Updates on Policies/Guidance/Issues Previously Presented

Various updates were presented.

Agenda Item #7: Public Comment

No public comment was made.

Agenda Item #8: Topics for Next Meeting

Robert suggested that the PAC discuss Caltrans design guidance for curb ramp orientation at an upcoming meeting.

Agenda Item #9: Announcements and Information Sharing

It was announced that the Alameda County Transportation Plan is currently being developed and that the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the Bay Conservation and Development Commission are together working on a study on sea level rise impacts and mitigation, starting with Alameda County.